

Existing Chemical Hazard Assessment Report



Australian Government
Department of Health and Ageing
NICNAS

Di-C6-10-Alkyl Phthalate

June 2008

© Commonwealth of Australia 2008

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Barton ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

Preface

This report was compiled under the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS). This Scheme was established by the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act), which came into operation on 17 July 1990.

The principal aim of NICNAS is to aid in the protection of people at work, the public and the environment from the harmful effects of industrial chemicals.

NICNAS assessments are carried out in conjunction with the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, which carry out the environmental assessment for NICNAS. NICNAS has two major programs: the assessment of the health and environmental effects of new industrial chemicals prior to importation or manufacture; and the other focussing on the assessment of chemicals already in use in Australia in response to specific concerns about their health/or environmental effects.

There is an established mechanism within NICNAS for prioritising and assessing the many thousands of existing chemicals in use in Australia.

For the purposes of Section 78(1) of the Act, copies of assessment reports for New and Existing Chemical assessments are freely available from the web (www.nicnas.gov.au). Summary Reports are published in the *Commonwealth Chemical Gazette* (<http://www.nicnas.gov.au/publications/#gazette>), and are available to the public on line at www.nicnas.gov.au.

Copies of this report and other NICNAS reports are available on the NICNAS website. Hardcopies are available from NICNAS at the following address:

GPO Box 58
Sydney NSW 2001
AUSTRALIA
Attention: Office Manager
Tel: +61 (02) 8577 8800
Freecall: 1800 638 528
Fax: +61 (02) 8577 8888
Email: info@nicnas.gov.au

Other information about NICNAS (also available on request) includes:

- NICNAS Annual Reports.
- NICNAS Service Charter.
- Brochure on NICNAS Registration.

More information on NICNAS can be found at the NICNAS web site:

<http://www.nicnas.gov.au>

Overview

This review of Di-C6-10-alkyl phthalate (Di-C6-10 PE) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, information from the International Uniform Chemical Information Database (IUCLID) on Di-C6-10 PE and relevant studies from more recent literature surveys conducted up to September 2006 were consulted.

Di-C6-10 PE is a primary plasticiser for polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins and copolymers. Fifty million pounds of Di-C6-10 PE were produced in the United States in 1994. Di-C6-10 PE is used in PVC utilized in the manufacture of flooring and carpet tile, canvas tarpaulins, swimming pool liners, notebook covers, traffic cones, toys, vinyl gloves, garden hoses, weather stripping, flea collars, and shoes.

A survey of Australian industry in 2004 and 2006 provided no information on this phthalate.

Structurally, phthalate esters are characterized by a diester structure consisting of a benzenedicarboxylic acid head group linked to two ester side chains. Di-C6-10 PE is a mixture consisting of several phthalates each with 2 linear ester side chains of between 6 and 10 carbons (C6-10).

Toxicity data for Di-C6-10 PE were not available for the majority of health endpoints. For endpoints with missing or incomplete data, information from structurally similar phthalates, where available, was used to extrapolate potential toxicity. Relevant read-across information was obtained from other NICNAS hazard assessment reports for phthalates and the NICNAS Phthalate Hazard Compendium, which contains a comparative analysis of toxicity endpoints across 24 ortho-phthalates, including Di-C6-10 PE. Given Di-C6-10 PE is a mixture of about 1% di-n-hexyl phthalate (DnHP, CAS no. 84-75-3), 20% di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP, CAS no. 117-84-0), and 79% di-n-decyl phthalate (DnDP, CAS no. 84-77-5), NICNAS hazard assessments for DnHP and DnOP were also considered. NICNAS did not review DnDP and no international reviews were available.

Limited data on the toxicokinetics were available for DnHP and DnOP and none for Di-C6-10 PE and DnDP. However, based on the toxicokinetic profile of phthalates in general, Di-C6-10 PE is likely to be rapidly absorbed as the monoester from the gut and excreted via the urine.

Di-C6-10 PE has low oral acute toxicity. No acute dermal or inhalation toxicity studies were available, but based on data for other phthalates of a similar molecular weight, Di-C6-10 PE is expected to have low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity.

Di-C6-10 PE causes minimal skin and eye irritant effects in rabbits. Although no sensitisation studies were available, Di-C6-10 PE is not expected to cause skin sensitisation based on data obtained on other phthalates.

In a 21-day repeated dose oral study and a two-generation reproductive toxicity study with Di-C6-10 PE in different rat species, the liver and kidney appeared to be the main target organs. A LOAEL was established at 45 mg/kg bw/d in the two-generation study based on increases in liver and kidney weights. No NOAEL was established.

None of the three constituents of Di-C6-10 PE i.e. DnHP, DnOP and DnDP were genotoxic in vitro studies. Equivocal results for Di-C6-10 PE were obtained in a mouse lymphoma mutation assay. When assessed together, and noting the generally negative genotoxicity profile of phthalates, Di-C6-10 is considered unlikely to be genotoxic.

The only carcinogenicity data available for Di-C6-10 PE was a negative in vitro mouse cell transformation assay. There are also limited data for DnOP suggesting it might act as a promoter of preneoplastic lesions in the rat liver. Overall, due to insufficient testing on phthalates, it is not possible to extrapolate carcinogenic potential for Di-C6-10 PE.

In a two-generation rat reproduction study, Di-C6-10 PE (up to 10000 ppm) in the diet did not induce obvious effects on mating performance, fertility indices or duration of gestation. The LOAEL for reproductive effects was 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d), based on reduced seminal vesicle weight, while systemic effects (increased liver and kidney weights in F1 females) were seen at 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg bw/d), the lowest dose tested.

Di-C6-10 PE caused developmental effects in the same two-generation reproductive study at maternally toxic doses. The NOAEL for developmental effects was established at 3000 ppm (135 mg/kg bw/d), with a LOAEL of 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d) based on slightly decreased litter survival, and slightly decreased pup and litter weight.

Although the reproductive and developmental effects observed in the two-generation study using Di-C6-10 PE were minor and occurred at dose levels higher than those for systemic toxicity, it should be noted that the constituent DnHP, which is present at 1%, is known to cause reproductive and developmental toxicity. The major constituents DnOP and DnDP are high molecular weight phthalates with backbone carbon lengths of $\geq C7$, and therefore are likely to show no or only minimal fertility or developmental effects as other members of the category. Nevertheless, data are insufficient to establish definitively the potential of Di-C6-10 PE for effects on fertility and development.

Table of Contents

PREFACE	iii
OVERVIEW	iv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. IDENTITY	2
2.1 Identification of the substance	2
2.2 Physicochemical properties	2
3. USES	3
4. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD	4
4.1 Toxicokinetics	4
4.2 Acute toxicity	4
4.3 Irritation	4
4.3.1 Skin irritation	4
4.3.2 Eye irritation	4
4.4 Sensitisation	5
4.5 Repeated dose toxicity	5
4.6 Genetic toxicity	6
4.7 Carcinogenicity	6
4.8 Reproductive toxicity	7
4.8.1 Two-generation reproductive toxicity studies	7
5. HAZARD CHARACTERISATION	9
6. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD SUMMARY TABLE	11
REFERENCES	13
APPENDIX - ROBUST STUDY SUMMARIES	15

Acronyms and Abbreviations

bw	body weight
C	Celsius
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
d	day
DEHP	diethylhexyl phthalate
Di-C6-10 PE	Di-C6-10-alkyl phthalate
DnDP	di-n-decyl phthalate
DnHP	di-n-hexyl phthalate
DnOP	di-n-octyl phthalate
ECB	European Chemicals Bureau
f	female
F0	parental generation
F1	filial 1 (first generation)
F2	filial 2 (second generation)
g	gram
GLP	good laboratory practice
h	hour
IUCLID	International Uniform Chemical Information Database
kg	kilogram
kPa	kilopascals
L	litre
LC50	median lethal concentration
LD50	median lethal dose
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
m	male
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
NICNAS	National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OECD TG	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Test Guideline
PND	post-natal day
ppm	parts per million

PVC	polyvinyl chloride
μ	micro

1. Introduction

This review of Di-C6-10-alkyl phthalate (Di-C6-10 PE) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, an ECB IUCLID Dataset on Di-C6-10 PE (ECB, 2000) and relevant studies from more recent literature surveys conducted up to September 2006 were consulted. Given Di-C6-10 PE is a mixture of about 1% di-n-hexyl phthalate (DnHP, CAS no. 84-75-3), 20% di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP, CAS no. 117-84-0), and 79% di-n-decyl phthalate (DnDP, CAS no. 84-77-5), NICNAS hazard assessments for DnHP (NICNAS, 2008a) and DnOP (NICNAS, 2008b) were also considered. NICNAS did not review DnDP and no international reviews were available.

Information on Australian uses was compiled from data supplied by industry in 2004 and 2006.


References not marked with an asterisk were examined for the purposes of this assessment. References not examined but quoted from the key reviews as secondary citations are also noted in this assessment and marked with an asterisk. It should be noted that the data in the IUCLID are data reported by the European Chemicals Industry and has not undergone review by the European Commission.

Hazard information from this assessment is published also in the form of a hazard compendium providing a comparative analysis of key toxicity endpoints for 24 *ortho*-phthalates (NICNAS, 2008c).

2. Identity

2.1 Identification of the substance

CAS Number:	68515-51-5
Chemical Name:	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, di-C6-10-alkyl esters
Common Name:	Di-C6-10-alkyl phthalate (Di-C6-10 PE)
Molecular Formula:	C ₂₇ H ₄₄ O ₄
Structural Formula:	



(based on C10 length)

Di-C6-10 PE is a mixture of n-C6, n-C8, and n-C10 phthalates with completely linear alcohol side chains. It contains about 1% DnHP (CAS no. 84-75-3), 20% DnOP (CAS no. 117-84-0), and 79% DnDP (CAS no. 84-77-5) (Jahnke et al., 2005).

Molecular Weight:	434.4 (calculated based on 1% DnHP, 20% DnOP, and 79% DnDP)
Synonyms:	Di(n-hexyl, n-octyl, n-decyl) phthalate; 610P phthalate; Di(C6-C10)alkyl phthalate
Purity/Impurities/Additives:	Not available

2.2 Physicochemical properties

Table 1: Summary of physicochemical properties

Property	Value
Physical state	Organic liquid
Melting point	-50°C
Boiling point	250°C (0.5 kPa)
Density	974 – 979 (20°C)
Vapour pressure	<1 x 10 ⁻⁴ kPa (20°C)
Water solubility	<2 x 10 ⁻⁴ g/L (20°C)
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water (log K _{ow})	>3.5 (20°C)
Henry's law constant	Not available
Flash point	>210°C (open cup)

Source: ECB (2000)

3. Uses

Di-C6-10 PE is a primary plasticiser for polyvinyl chloride resins and copolymers. Fifty million pounds of Di-C6-10 PE were produced in the United States in 1994. Di-C6-10 PE is used in PVC utilized in the manufacture of flooring and carpet tile, canvas tarpaulins, swimming pool liners, notebook covers, traffic cones, toys, vinyl gloves, garden hoses, weather stripping, flea collars, and shoes (CMA, 1999*).

No information on use in Australia was available. A survey of Australian industry in 2004 and 2006 provided no information on this phthalate.

4. Human Health Hazard

4.1 Toxicokinetics

No data

4.2 Acute toxicity

Study	Species	Results (LD50/LC50)	References
Oral	Rat	>2000 mg/kg bw	Huels AG, 198 8*
		>30720 mg/kg bw	Huels AG, 196 5*

Source: ECB (2000)

Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

Conclusion

Di-C6-10 PE has low acute oral toxicity, with a LD50 for rats of >2000 mg/kg bw. No acute toxicity data from inhalation or dermal exposure or human studies were available for Di-C6-10 PE.

4.3 Irritation

4.3.1 Skin irritation

In skin irritation tests (Draize and OECD TG 404), Di-C6-10 PE exhibited no to mild skin irritation in rabbits (Scientific Associates, 1975a*; Huels AG, 1989*, cited in ECB, 2000).

Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

Conclusion

Di-C6-10 PE caused minimal skin irritation in rabbits.

4.3.2 Eye irritation

In eye irritation tests (Draize and OECD TG 405), Di-C6-10 PE exhibited slight eye irritation in rabbits (Scientific Associates, 1975b*; Huels AG, 1989*, cited in ECB, 2000).

Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

Conclusion

Di-C6-10 PE caused minimal eye irritation in rabbits.

4.4 Sensitisation

No data.

4.5 Repeated dose toxicity

Data not reported in previous evaluations

In a 21-day study, Di-C6-10 PE at 0, 0.6, 1.2 and 2.5% in the diet (0, 652, 1294, and 2604 mg/kg bw/d in males; 0, 657, 1222, and 2535 mg/kg bw/d in females) was fed to Fischer 344 rats (5/sex/group) (BIBRA, 1985). Treatment did not significantly influence the food intakes or bodyweights of treated animals. In both sexes, absolute and relative liver weights were statistically significantly increased in all treated groups compared to controls. No statistically significant changes were observed in kidney or testes weights.

The majority of male rats had livers that were pale in appearance. In females there was a reduction in the hepatocyte cytoplasmic basophilia in the groups fed 2.5% and in one rat fed 1.2%. This was regarded as an adaptive rather than a toxic effect. In the males the reduction was obscured by extensive lipid deposition in hepatocytes in all treated groups. In histological examination this lipid was seen as vacuolation and was accompanied by slight increases in mitotic activity and cell necrosis. In females, slight necrosis and increased mitotic activity was confined to a few animals from the 1.2 and 2.5% groups. Males at 2.5% had a slight increase in peroxisome numbers and females a moderate increase. There were statistically significant increases in cyanide-insensitive palmitoyl-CoA oxidation in both sexes at 2.5% and in males at 1.2%. Non-statistically significant increases were also observed in females at 1.2%. Lauric acid 12-hydroxylase activity was increased significantly in both sexes fed 2.5%. The 11-hydroxylase activity was significantly increased in all treated females. These increases in enzyme activity indicate the induction of peroxisome proliferation. Statistically significant but non-dose related reductions in serum cholesterol levels were seen in all female treated groups and the male 0.6% group. At 2.5%, serum triglyceride levels were statistically significantly increased in females and statistically significantly decreased in females. Changes were not dose related in either sex. The NOAEL in this study was not established because significantly increased liver weights and biochemical/histopathological effects in liver were observed at the lowest dose tested (0.6%; 652-657 (males-females) mg/kg bw/d).

In an oral two-generation reproductive study (reported in Section 4.8), Sprague-Dawley rats (24/sex/group) were fed Di-C6-10 PE in the diet at 0, 1000, 3000, and 10000 ppm (approximately 45, 135, and 450 mg/kg bw/d) (Inveresk Research, 1998). Treatment commenced in F0 animals 10 weeks prior to mating and continued throughout the mating, gestation and lactation period. F1 animals were weaned on a similar diet to their respective parents and then selected animals were treated for approximately 11 weeks after weaning, prior to mating. Treatment then continued throughout mating, gestation and lactation periods with selected F2 animals treated until termination.

Reductions in weight gain were reported for F0, F1 and F2 males and reduced food consumptions were reported for F0 and F1 males and during lactation in females at 10000 ppm. Liver weights were increased for female rats of all generations at 10000

ppm, for F0 and F2 males at 10000 ppm, for F0 and F2 rats of both sexes at 3000 ppm and of F1 females at 1000 ppm. Absolute liver weight was also increased in F0 females at 1000 ppm but relative liver weight was not statistically significantly different than controls. Mean relative kidney weights of F0 and F1 females were increased at 10000 and 3000 ppm and there was a slight increase in relative kidney weights among F1 females at 1000 ppm. Absolute, but not relative kidney weights in F1 males (but not other generations) were increased at 10000 and 3000 ppm.

At necropsy, most adults in the F0, F1 and F2 generations at 10000 ppm had gross observations in the liver, including discoloured, enlarged and pale liver with prominent lobulation and/or pale/dark foci. Pale liver foci were also observed for occasional males at 3000 ppm and for occasional females at 10000 ppm in all the generations. Most F0 and F1 males at 10000 ppm had histological findings in the liver. Cellular changes included basophilic foci, eosinophilic cell foci, clear cell foci, vacuolation, bile duct hyperplasia and Kupffer-cell pigmentation. No other details were provided. A NOAEL for systemic toxicity was not identified because of increased liver and kidney weights seen among F1 females at the lowest dose tested of 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg bw/d).

Conclusion

From a 21-day subchronic oral study and a 2-generation reproductive study in different rat species, the liver and kidney appeared to be the main target organs of Di-C6-10 PE. In both studies, absolute and/or relative liver weights were increased. Kidney weights were increased also in the 2-generation study but not the 21-day study. Peroxisome proliferation was observed in the 21-day study.

A NOAEL was not established in either study. The lowest LOAEL was derived from the 2-generation study based on increased liver and kidney weight seen among F1 females at the lowest dose tested (45 mg/kg bw/d).

4.6 Genetic toxicity

Di-C6-10 PE was tested in the mouse lymphoma gene mutation assay and considered equivocal due to a non-dose related increase in mutations in the presence and absence of metabolic activation (Barber et al., 2000).

Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

Conclusion

Equivocal results for Di-C6-10 PE were obtained in a mouse lymphoma mutation assay. No in vivo or human genotoxicity data are available for Di-C6-10 PE.

4.7 Carcinogenicity

Di-C6-10 PE was tested in vitro in a mammalian cell transformation assay using Balb/c-3T3 mouse cells. With an exposure period of 72 hours and incubation over 4 weeks Di-C6-10 PE did not induce statistically significant increases in transforming activity with concentrations up to 6.32 µL/mL (Barber et al., 2000).

Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

Conclusion

Di-C6-10 PE was inactive in an in vitro mouse cell transformation assay. No in vivo carcinogenicity data were available for Di-C6-10 PE.

4.8 Reproductive toxicity

Traditional hazard assessments consider effects on fertility separate from developmental toxicity. Fertility is tested by exposing sexually mature adults to a chemical and examining the effects on reproductive capacity. Developmental toxicity is studied by exposing pregnant dams and looking for effects in the fetuses. Chemicals that affect the developing reproductive system following prenatal exposure may also affect sexual maturation or functional reproductive disorders that are only apparent at maturity. Developmental toxicity can therefore lead to effects on fertility and the two endpoints cannot be clearly distinguished.

In this hazard assessment, data are presented on the basis of test procedure. Test procedures include 2-generation studies, prenatal developmental toxicity studies (only the dam is dosed, study ends before parturition) and postnatal developmental toxicity studies (dam is dosed during gestation and allowed to litter, study ends during weaning). The effects on fertility (as adults) and development (as fetuses) are then discussed separately.

4.8.1 Two-generation reproductive toxicity studies

In an oral two-generation reproductive study (Inveresk Research, 1998), Sprague-Dawley rats (24/sex/group) were fed Di-C6-10 PE in the diet at 0, 1000, 3000, and 10000 ppm (0, 45, 135, and 450 mg/kg bw/d). F0 animals were treated for 10 weeks prior to mating for production of the F1 litter. Treatment continued throughout the mating, gestation and lactation period until termination after weaning of these litters. F1 animals were weaned on the same diets as their respective parents. Selected F1 animals were treated for approximately 11 weeks after weaning, prior to mating. Treatment then continued for both sexes throughout the mating, gestation and lactation periods, until termination at the time of weaning of the F2 litters. Selected F2 animals were treated until termination on the completion of the post-weaning assessments. Systemic effects are described in Section 4.5. The LOAEL for systemic effects was 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg bw/d), the lowest dose tested.

There were no obvious effects of treatment on the mating performance, fertility indices or the duration of gestation. There was no effect on absolute testes weight in treated animals nor seminiferous tubule diameter or qualitative measures of spermatogenesis. However adjusted testes weight of high dose F1 males was significantly reduced at weaning and significantly increased as adults. The absolute seminal vesicle weights were significantly reduced in F0, F1 and F2 males while the adjusted weights of seminal vesicles in F1 and F2 males at 10000 ppm were significantly reduced. There was also a decreased in seminal vesicle weight in F2 males at 3000 ppm (examined at weaning) but this was considered to be probably

incidental in the absence of similar effects in the other generations. Mean prostate weight was reduced among F1 adults at 10000 ppm.

Developmental toxicity at the highest dose tested (10000 ppm) included decreased litter size and survival PND 4 to 21; decreased pup weights; increased adjusted body weights for F1 adults; and decreased weaning weights. There was a marginal delay in preputial separation in the F1 and F2 generations at 10000 ppm (not significant).

The changes in seminal vesicle weight in the high dose group are considered to be related to body weight decreases due to reduced food intake. The LOAEL for reproductive effects was considered to be 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d) based on reduced seminal vesicle weight. A NOAEL for systemic toxicity was not identified due to increased liver and kidney weights among F1 females at 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg bw/d), the lowest dose tested. The NOAEL for developmental effects was considered to be 3000 ppm (135 mg/kg bw/d). The LOAEL was 10000 ppm based on slightly decreased litter survival, and slightly decreased pup and litter weight.

Conclusion

Effects on fertility

A two-generation reproductive study indicated that exposure of rats to Di-C6-10 PE at 1000-10000 ppm in the diet did not induce obvious effects on mating performance, fertility indices or duration of gestation. The absolute and relative seminal vesicle weight was significantly decreased in association with decreased body weight in the F1 and F2 males exposed to high doses of Di-C6-10 PE (Inveresk Research, 1998). Food consumption was also reduced in the high dose F1 males. However, Chapin et al. (1993) has shown that feed restriction resulting in a 10% reduction in body weight over 15 weeks is associated with decreased prostate and seminal vesicle weight with no effect on testes weight.

The LOAEL for reproductive effects was established at 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d), while the NOAEL for systemic toxicity was not identified because increased liver and kidney weights in F1 females were seen at 1000 ppm, the lowest dose tested.

Effects on development

A two-generation reproductive study for Di-C6-10 PE indicated that there was a marginal, non-significant delay in sexual maturity at 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d, the highest dose tested), but that sexual maturity at the lower levels was attained at a similar age to that of controls. The NOAEL for developmental effects was established at 3000 ppm (135 mg/kg bw/d), with a LOAEL of 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d) based on slightly decreased litter survival, and slightly decreased pup and litter weight.

5. Hazard Characterisation

Di-C6-10 PE is a mixture containing approximately 1% DnHP, 20% DnOP, and 79% DnDP. Toxicity data for Di-C6-10 PE were not available for the majority of health endpoints. For endpoints with missing or incomplete data, information from structurally similar phthalates, where available, was used to extrapolate potential toxicity. Relevant read-across information was obtained from other NICNAS assessment reports for relevant phthalates and the NICNAS Phthalates Hazard Compendium (NICNAS, 2008c) which contains a comparative analysis of toxicity endpoints across 24 *ortho*-phthalates, including Di-C6-10 PE. As Di-C6-10 is a mixture, data on the constituents are also considered relevant. NICNAS has completed hazard assessment on two of the constituents, DnHP (NICNAS, 2008a) and DnOP (NICNAS, 2008b), but has not assessed DnDP, the predominant constituent.

Limited data on the toxicokinetics were available for DnHP and DnOP and none for Di-C6-10 PE and DnDP. However, based on the toxicokinetic profile of phthalates in general, Di-C6-10 PE is likely to be rapidly absorbed as the monoester from the gut and excreted via the urine.

Di-C6-10 PE has low oral acute toxicity. No acute dermal or inhalation toxicity studies are available for Di-C6-10 PE. Based on data for other phthalates of a similar molecular weight, Di-C6-10 PE is expected to have low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity.

Di-C6-10 PE causes minimal skin and eye irritant effects in rabbits. Although there are no sensitisation studies, Di-C6-10 PE is not expected to cause any skin sensitisation based on data obtained on other phthalates.

In a 21-day repeated dose oral study and a 2-generation reproductive toxicity study with Di-C6-10 PE in different rat species, the liver and kidney appear to be the main target organs. In both studies, absolute and/or relative liver weights were increased. Kidney weights were increased in the 2-generation study but not the 21-day study. Histopathological effects in the liver include lipid deposition, reduction in the hepatocyte cytoplasmic basophilia, increased mitotic activity and slight cell necrosis. Decreased serum cholesterol levels, slightly increased peroxisome numbers, palmitoyl CoA oxidation and liver metabolising enzymes were also observed in the 21-day study. No NOAEL was established and the LOAEL was 45 mg/kg bw/d based on increases in liver and kidney weights.

None of the three constituents of Di-C6-10 PE, DnHP, DnOP and DnDP were genotoxic in vitro studies (NICNAS, 2008a & 2008b; CMA, 1999*). Although equivocal results for Di-C6-10 PE were obtained in a mouse lymphoma mutation assay due to a non-dose related increase in mutations in the presence and absence of metabolic activation, it did not induce transformation in Balb/c-3T3 cells. When assessed together, and noting the generally negative genotoxicity profile of phthalates, Di-C6-10 is considered unlikely to be genotoxic.

The only carcinogenicity data available for Di-C6-10 PE is a negative in vitro mouse cell transformation assay. There is also limited data for DnOP suggesting it might act as a promoter of preneoplastic lesions in the rat liver, probably by a mechanism not

relying on peroxisome proliferation. Overall, due to insufficient testing on phthalates, it is not possible to extrapolate carcinogenic potential for Di-C6-10 PE.

In a two-generation rat reproduction study, Di-C6-10 PE (up to 10000 ppm) in the diet did not induce obvious effects on the mating performance, fertility indices or duration of gestation. The LOAEL for reproductive effects was taken as 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d), based on reduced seminal vesicle weight, while systemic effects (increased liver and kidney weights in F1 females) were seen at 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg bw/d), the lowest dose tested.

Di-C6-10 PE caused developmental effects in the same two-generation reproductive study at maternally toxic doses. The NOAEL for developmental effects was established at 3000 ppm (135 mg/kg bw/d), with a LOAEL of 10000 ppm (450 mg/kg bw/d) based on slightly decreased litter survival, and slightly decreased pup and litter weight.

Although the reproductive and developmental effects observed in the two-generation study using Di-C6-10 PE were minor and occurred at dose levels higher than those for systemic toxicity, it should be noted that the constituent DnHP, which is present at 1%, is known to cause reproductive and developmental toxicity. The major constituents DnOP and DnDP are high molecular weight phthalates with backbone carbon lengths of $\geq C7$, and therefore are likely to show no or only minimal fertility or developmental effects as other members of the high molecular weight phthalate esters category. Nevertheless, data are insufficient to establish definitively the potential of Di-C6-10 PE for effects on fertility and development.

6. Human Health Hazard Summary Table

Phthalate	Acute Toxicity	Irritation & Sensitisation	Repeated Dose Toxicity	Genetic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Fertility	Developmental Toxicity
Di-C6-10-alkyl phthalate (Di-C6-10 PE)	<p>Oral Rat: >2000 mg/kg bw/d</p> <p>Dermal: No data</p> <p>Inhalation: No data</p>	<p>Skin irritation: Minimal effect</p> <p>Eye irritation: Minimal effect</p> <p>Respiratory irritation: No data</p> <p>Skin sensitisation: No data</p>	<p>Rat: NOAEL = not established LOAEL (2-gen. repro study) = 45 mg/kg bw/d, ↑ liver and kidney weights (F1 f)</p> <p>High doses: pale livers with lobulation and discolouration, slight cell necrosis (m). PP noted.</p>	<p>In vitro: Equivocal in mouse lymphoma assay</p> <p>In vivo: No data</p>	<p>In vitro: Negative in cell transformation assay.</p> <p>In vivo: No data</p>	<p>Rat: NOAEL = 135 mg/kg bw/d LOAEL = 450 mg/kg bw/d, ↓ seminal vesicle weight</p>	<p>Two generation study Rat: NOAEL = 135 mg/kg bw/d LOAEL = 450 mg/kg bw/d, ↓ litter survival, ↓ pup weight</p>

↑: increase; ↓: decrease; m: male; f: female; PP: peroxisome proliferation

Page intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

References

- ATSDR (1997) Toxicological profile for di-n-octylphthalate. Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry.
- Barber ED, Cifone M, Rundell J, Przygoda R, Astill BD, Moran E, Mulholland A, Robinson E, & Schneider B (2000) Results of the L5178Y mouse lymphoma assay and the Balb/3t3 cell in vitro transformation assay for eight phthalate esters. *J Appl Toxicol*, 20:69-80.
- BIBRA (1985) A 21-day feeding study of 610 phthalate to rats: Effects on the liver and liver lipids. Report No. 0495/7/85. The British Industrial Biological Research Association.
- CERHR (2003a) NTP-CERHR monograph on the potential human reproductive and developmental effects of di-n-hexyl phthalate (DnHP). NIH Publication No. 03-4489. Research Triangle Park, National Toxicology Program-Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- CERHR (2003b) NTP-CERHR monograph on the potential human reproductive and developmental effects of di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP). NIH Publication No. 03-4488. Research Triangle Park, National Toxicology Program-Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Chapin RE, Gulati DK, Barnes LH, & Teague JL (1993) The effects of feed restriction on reproductive function in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Fund Appl Tox*, 20:23-29.
- CMA (1999) Comments of the Chemical Manufacturers Association phthalate esters panel in response to request for public input on seven phthalate esters. FR Doc. 99-9484. Washington, DC, Chemical Manufacturers Association.
- ECB (2000) IUCLID Dataset on Di-C6-10-alkyl esters. European Commission, European Chemicals Bureau.
- Gray TJ & Gangolli SD (1986) Aspects of the testicular toxicity of phthalate esters. *Environ Health Perspect*, 65:229-235.
- Hardin BD, Schuler RL, Burg JR, Booth GM, Hazelden KP, MacKenzie KM, Piccirillo VJ, & Smith KN (1987) Evaluation of 60 chemicals in a preliminary developmental toxicity test. *Teratog Carcinog Mutagen*, 7:29-48.
- Harris CA, Henttu P, Parker MG, & Sumpter JP (1997) The estrogenic activity of phthalate esters in vitro. *Environ. Health Perspect*, 105: 802-811
- Huels AG (1965) Acute oral toxicity (LD50) study in rats – ALFOL 6-10. Scientific Associates, for Vista Chemical Company.
- Huels AG (1988) Safepharm Project No. 11/116. Unpublished report.
- Huels AG (1989) Report No. 1538 and 1539. Unpublished report.
- Inveresk Research (1998) Witamol 110/Liplast 610P – Two generation reproduction study in rats. Report No. 15380, Doc ID: 88980000172. Scotland, UK, Inveresk Research (Unpublished report submitted by Condea Vista Co.).
- Jahnke GD, Iannucci AR, Scialli AR, & Shelby MD (2005) Center for the evaluation of risks to human reproduction - the first five years. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol*, 74:1-8.
- Lamb JC 4th, Chapin RE, Teague J, Lawton AD, & Reel JR (1987) Reproductive effects of four phthalic acid esters in the mouse. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 88:255-269.

- NICNAS (2008a) DnHP human health hazard assessment. Sydney, National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme.
- NICNAS (2008b) DnOP human health hazard assessment. Sydney, National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme.
- NICNAS (2008c) Phthalate hazard compendium: a summary of physicochemical and human health hazard data for 24 *ortho*-phthalate chemicals. Sydney, National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme.
- Nishihara T, Nishikawa J, Kanayama T, Dakeyama F, Saito K, Imagawa M, Takatori S, Kitagawa Y, Hori S, & Utsumi H (2000) Estrogenic activities of 517 chemicals by yeast two-hybrid assay. *Journal of Health Science*, 46(4): 282-298.
- OECD (2004) SIDS Initial Assessment Report for SIAM 19: Category – High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Berlin, Germany, 19-22 October 2004.
- Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group (2001) High production volume (HPV) chemical challenge programme test plan for the phthalate esters category. December 10, 2001. Prepared by:ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc. for the Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group of the American Chemistry Council.
<http://www.epa.gov/hpv/pubs/summaries/benzene/c13467tc.htm> (Accessed 2007)
- Sato T, Nagase H, Sato K, Niikawa M, & Kito H (1994) Enhancement of the mutagenicity of amino acid pyrolysates by phthalate esters. *Environ Mol Mut*, 24:325-331.
- Scientific Associates (1975a) Dermal irritation test in rabbits – ALFOL 6-10. Scientific Associates, for Vista Chemical Company.
- Scientific Associates (1975b) Eye irritation test in rabbits – ALFOL 8-10. Scientific Associates, for Vista Chemical Company.
- Singh AR, Lawrence WH, & Autian J (1972) Teratogenicity of phthalate esters in rats. *J Pharm Sci*, 61:51-55.
- Takeuchi S, Iida M, Kobayashi S, Jin K, Matsuda T & Kojima H (2005) Differential effects phthalate ester human estrogen receptors. *Toxicology*, 210, 223-233.
- Toda C, Okamoto Y, Ueda K, Hashizume K, Itoh K, & Kojima N (2004) Unequivocal estrogen receptor-binding affinity of phthalate esters featured with ring hydroxylation and proper alkyl chain size. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 431: 16-21.
- Yano K, Ohno S, Nakajima Y, Toyoshima S, & Nakajin S (2003) Effects of various chemicals including endocrine disruptors and analogs on the secretion of Th1 and Th2 cytokines from anti CD3-stimulated mouse spleen cells. *J Health Sci*, 49:195-204.
- Zacharewski TR, Meek MD, Clemons JH, Wu ZF, Fielden MR, & Matthews JB (1998) Examination of the in vitro and in vivo estrogenic activities of eight commercial phthalate esters. *Toxicol Sci*, 46:282-293.
- Zeiger E, Haworth S, Mortelmans K, & Speck W (1985) Mutagenicity testing of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and related chemicals in *Salmonella*. *Environ Mutagen*, 7:213-232.
- Zeiger E, Haworth S, Speck W, & Mortelmans K (1982) Phthalate ester testing in the National Toxicology Program's environmental mutagenesis test development program. *Environ Health Perspect*, 45:99-101.

Appendix - Robust Study Summaries

A1 - Repeated dose toxicity

Test substance	Di-C6-10 PE
Type of test	Subchronic Oral Toxicity – Repeat Dose Study
Species	Rats, Fischer 344, 5/sex/group, 34-36 day of age
Route of admin.	Oral
Study duration	21 day
Treatment frequency	Daily
Post exposure period	None
Doses	0, 0.6%, 1.2%, 2.5% (0, 652, 1294, and 2604 mg/kg bw/d in males; 0, 657, 1222, and 2535 mg/kg bw/d in females)
Control group	0% in the diet, and Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) (1.2% or 1200 mg/kg bw) for study comparison
NOAEL / NOEL	Not established
LOAEL / LOEL	652 mg/kg bw/D (males); 657 mg/kg bw/D (females)
GLP& QA	Yes
Guidelines	Not known
Method	Di-C6-10 PE at concentrations of 0, 0.6, 1.2 and 2.5% in the diet was fed to Fischer 344 rats (5/sex/group) for 21 days. Another group of animals (5/sex) fed with 1.2% DEHP was used as positive control for induction of liver peroxisome number and palmitoyl CoA oxidation. Bodyweights and food intakes were monitored prior to and throughout the treatment period. The rats were killed after an overnight fast and blood was collected for determination of serum triglyceride and cholesterol levels. The livers, kidneys and testes were weighed and preserved for histological examination. In addition, samples of liver were processed for electron microscopic examination of the peroxisomes, for histochemical demonstration of neutral fat, and for biochemical determination of cyanide-insensitive palmitoyl-CoA oxidation, microsomal lauric acid 11- and 12-hydroxylation, and total and microsomal protein levels.
Result	Di-C6-10 PE treatment did not significantly influence the bodyweights or food intakes of the treated animals. In both sexes the weights and relative weights of the livers were increased in all treated groups. In the females there was a reduction in hepatocyte cytoplasmic basophilia in the group fed 2.5% and in one rat fed 1.2%. In the males the reduction was obscured by extensive lipid deposition in all treated groups. In the histological examination this lipid was seen as vacuolation and was accompanied by slight increases in mitotic activity and cell necrosis. In the females slight necrosis and increased mitotic activity was confined to a few animals from the 1.2 and 2.5% groups. Serum cholesterol levels were significantly reduced in the female treated groups, and the male 0.6% group (not dose-related). Males at 2.5% had a slight increase in peroxisome numbers and

females a moderate increase. There were increases of palmitoyl CoA oxidation in both sexes fed 1.2 and 2.5%. Lauric acid 12-hydroxylase activity was increased significantly in both sexes fed 2.5%. The 11-hydroxylase activity was significantly increased in all treated females.

DEHP treatment resulted in reduced food intake and lower bodyweight than the controls throughout the study period. In both sexes there was an increase in the weight and relative weight of the liver and a reduction in hepatocyte cytoplasmic basophilia. Serum cholesterol levels were also reduced in both sexes. Peroxisome numbers showed a marked (males) or moderate (females) increase after feeding DEHP. Palmitoyl CoA oxidation and lauric acid 11-and 12-hydroxylation were increased in the male and female groups.

Conclusion

Di-C6-10 PE caused a slight (males) or moderate (females) peroxisome proliferation in rats. There were liver weight increase, activation of palmitoyl CoA oxidation and lauric acid 12-hydroxylation. Lauric acid 11-hydroxylation was increased in the females only. In female rats there was a reduction in hepatic lipid levels following administration of Di-C6-10 PE. In male rats there was an extensive fat deposition, with slight increases of mitotic activity and cell necrosis. There was a marked sex difference in this response with the females showing a few instances of increased cell necrosis and mitotic activity and no fat deposition. Given that toxic effects (increased liver weight and histopathologically observed liver cell necrosis and increased mitotic activity) were seen at lowest dose tested (0.6%), a NOAEL in this study was not established because significantly increased liver weight and histopathological effects in liver were observed at the lowest dose tested.

Reference

BIBRA (1985) A 21-day feeding study of 610 phthalate to rats: Effects on the liver and liver lipids. Report No. 0495/7/85. The British Industrial Biological Research Association.

A2 - Reproductive toxicity

Test substance	Di-C6-10 PE
Type of test	2-Generation reproductive toxicity
Species	Rats, Sprague-Dawley, 24/sex/group, 4-week of age
Route of admin.	Oral
Study duration	2-generation. Treatment from 10 weeks prior to mating in F1 and continuously to F1 until termination on the completion of the post-weaning assessment in F2 animals (the number of days after weaning is not specified for F2).
Treatment frequency	Daily
Post exposure period	None
Doses	0, 1000, 3000, 10000 ppm (~0, 45, 135, 450 mg/kg bw/d)
Control group	24/sex
NOAEL / NOEL	not established for systemic toxicity; 135 for fertility and development
LOAEL / LOEL	45 mg/kg bw/d for systemic toxicity; 450 mg/kg bw/d for fertility and developmental toxicity
GLP& QA	Not known
Guidelines	Not known

Method

Sprague-Dawley rats (24/sex/group) were fed Di-C6-10 PE in the diet at 0, 1000, 3000, and 10000 ppm. F0 animals were treated for 10 weeks prior to mating and throughout the mating, gestation and lactation period until termination after weaning of these litters. F1 animals were weaned on the same diets as were fed to their respective parents. The selected F1 animals were treated for 11 weeks after weaning, prior to mating. Treatment then continued for both sexes throughout the mating, gestation and lactation periods, until termination at the time of weaning of the F2 litters. Selected F2 animals were treated until termination on the completion of the post-weaning assessments.

Food consumption and body weight was recorded weekly. Females were housed with males for a maximum of 7 nights and allowed to litter normally. Pups were examined on postnatal days 0-4, 7, 14 and 21. At weaning, pups were selected for mating and post-weaning evaluation. At necropsy, reproductive organs, liver, kidney and pituitary were weighed. Only high dose and control groups were assessed histologically.

Result

Reductions in weight gain in F0, F1 and F2 males and reduced food consumptions in the F0 and F1 males, and some reduction in food consumption during lactation in females at 10000 ppm were reported. Mean kidney weights of F0 and F1 females, and F1 males were increased at ≥ 3000 ppm. There was a slight increase in kidney weights among F1 females at 1000 ppm. Liver weights of F0 and F2 in both sexes at ≥ 3000 ppm and of F1 females at 1000 ppm were increased. Absolute liver weight was also increased in F0 females at 1000 ppm but relative liver weight was not statistically significantly different than controls. At necropsy, most adults in the F0, F1 and F2 generations at 10000 ppm had gross observations in the liver, including discoloured, enlarged and pale liver with prominent lobulation and/or pale/dark foci. Pale liver foci were also observed for occasional males at 3000 ppm and for occasional females at 10 000 ppm in all the generations. Most F0 and F1 males at 10 000 ppm had histological findings in the liver; cellular changes included basophilic foci, eosinophilic cell foci, clear cell foci, vacuolation, bile duct hyperplasia and Kupffer-cell pigmentation.

There were no obvious effects of treatment on the mating performance, fertility indices or the duration of gestation. There was no effect on testes weight in treated animals nor seminiferous tubule diameter or qualitative measures of spermatogenesis. The weights of seminal vesicles in F1 and F2 adults at 10000 ppm were reduced, and this reduction extended to F2 adults at 3000 ppm. Mean prostate weight was reduced among F1 adults at 10000 ppm.

Developmental toxicity included that at highest dose tested (10000 ppm) decreased litter size and survival across day 4 to 21; decreased pup weights; increased adjusted body weights for F1 adults; and decreased weaning weights. The weights of seminal vesicles were reduced in F1 adults at 10000 ppm and F2 adults at 3000 ppm. Mean prostate weight was reduced among F1 adults at 10000 ppm. There was a marginal delay in sexual maturity at 10000 ppm.

Conclusion

The NOAEL for fertility and developmental effects was considered to be 3000 ppm (135 mg/kg bw/d), with a LOAEL of 10000 ppm based on slightly decreased litter survival, and slightly decreased pup and litter weight. The NOAEL for systemic toxicity was not identified because increased liver and kidney weights among F1 females were seen at 1000 ppm (45 mg/kg/bw/d), the lowest dose tested.

Reference

Inveresk Research (1998) Witamol 110/Liplast 610P – Two generation reproduction study in rats. Report No. 15380, Doc ID: 88980000172. Scotland, UK, Inveresk Research (Unpublished report submitted by Condea Vista Co.).